Deep Learning (CNN) in 3D Point Cloud Processing

Yongcheng Liu 2019.04

Introduction

Introduction <u>tasks</u>



object detection

semantic segmentation

normal estimation

Introduction <u>datasets</u>



Mo et al. PartNet: A Large-scale Benchmark for Fine-grained and Hierarchical Part-level 3D Object Understanding. CVPR 2019. Yi et al. A scalable active framework for region annotation in 3D shape collections. TOG 2016. Wu et al. 3D ShapeNets: A Deep Representation for Volumetric Shapes. CVPR 2015.

Introduction <u>datasets</u>



Stanford 3D indoor scene: 8k



ScanNet: seg + det



Semantic 3D: 4 billion in total



.

KITTI: det

Dai et al. ScanNet: Richly-annotated 3D Reconstructions of Indoor Scenes. CVPR 2017. Armeni et al. 3d semantic parsing of large-scale indoor spaces. CVPR 2016.

Hackel et al. Semantic3d. net: A new large-scale point cloud classification benchmark. ISPRS 2017.

Introduction <u>some challenges</u>



Introduction **3D** representations



multi-view images + 2D CNN





point cloud + DL (CNN) ?

image depth + CNN

Related work – PointNet family

Related Work **PointNet: permutation invariance**

Classification Network



Shared MLP + max pool (symmetric function)

No local patterns capturing

Qi et al. PointNet: Deep Learning on Point Sets for 3D Classification and Segmentation. CVPR 2017.

Related Work PointNet++: local to global

Sampling + Grouping + PointNet

Qi et al. PointNet++: Deep Hierarchical Feature Learning on Point Sets in a Metric Space. NIPS 2017.

Related work – regularization

Related Work <u>SEGCloud: voxelization</u>

Tchapmi et al. SEGCloud: Semantic Segmentation of 3D Point Clouds. I3DV 2017.

Related Work SPLATNet: high-dimensional lattice

Su et al. SPLATNet: Sparse Lattice Networks for Point Cloud Processing. CVPR 2018.

Related Work <u>Pointwise CNN: k-NN binned kernel</u>

$$x_i^{\ell} = \sum_k w_k \frac{1}{\mid \Omega_i(k) \mid} \sum_{p_j \in \Omega_i(k)} x_j^{\ell-1}$$

Hua et al. Pointwise Convolutional Neural Networks. CVPR 2018.

Related Work SO-Net: Self-Organizing Map (SOM)

$$\begin{aligned} s_{ik} &= \text{kNN}(p_i \mid s_j, \ j = 0, \cdots, M-1). \\ p_{ik} &= p_i - s_{ik}. \\ p_{ik}^{l+1} &= \phi(W^l p_{ik}^l + b^l). \\ s_j^0 &= \max(\{p_{ik}^l, \forall s_{ik} = s_j\}). \end{aligned}$$

Li et al. SO-Net: Self-Organizing Network for Point Cloud Analysis. CVPR 2018.

0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00 -0.25 -0.50 -0.50

0.0 0.1 0.0 -0.1 -0.2 .00 -0.3

Related Work PointCNN: X-transformation

In this paper, we propose to learn a $K \times K \mathcal{X}$ -transformation for the coordinates of K input points $(p_1, p_2, ..., p_K)$, with a multilayer perceptron [39], i.e., $\mathcal{X} = MLP(p_1, p_2, ..., p_K)$. Our aim is to use it to simultaneously weight and permute the input features, and subsequently apply a typical convolution on the transformed features. We refer to this process as \mathcal{X} -Conv, and it is the basic

ALGORITHM 1: X-Conv Operator

Input : $\mathbf{K}, p, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}$	
Output: \mathbf{F}_p	\triangleright Features "projected", or "aggregated", into representative point p
1: $\mathbf{P}' \leftarrow \mathbf{P} - p$	\triangleright Move P to local coordinate system of p
2: $\mathbf{F}_{\delta} \leftarrow MLP_{\delta}(\mathbf{P}')$	\triangleright Individually lift each point into C_{δ} dimensional space
3: $\mathbf{F}_* \leftarrow [\mathbf{F}_{\delta}, \mathbf{F}]$	\triangleright Concatenate \mathbf{F}_{δ} and \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}_* is a $K \times (C_{\delta} + C_1)$ matrix
4: $\mathcal{X} \leftarrow MLP(\mathbf{P}')$	\triangleright Learn the $K \times K \mathcal{X}$ -transformation matrix
5: $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{X}} \leftarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathbf{F}_{*}$	\triangleright Weight and permute \mathbf{F}_* with the learnt \mathcal{X}
6: $\mathbf{F}_p \leftarrow \operatorname{Conv}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{X}})$	\triangleright Finally, typical convolution between K and $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{X}}$

Related Work **PointSift: SIFT-like network**

Figure 4: Illustration of the details in Orientation-encoding Point Convolutional layer. (a): point clouds in 3D space. (b) neighbors in eight directions. (c) three stages convolution combines all the features.

SIFT :

- orientation-encoding
- scale-awareness (shortcut

connections)

Jiang et al. PointSIFT: A SIFT-like Network Module for 3D Point Cloud Semantic Segmentation. arXiv 2018.

Related work – robustness to rigid transformation

Normalization:

- ✓ Translation
- ✓ Scale
- x Rotation

Related Work SFCNN: Spherical Fractal CNN

Cohen et al. Spherical CNNs. ICLR 2018.

Rao et al. Spherical Fractal Convolution Neural Networks for Point Cloud Recognition. CVPR 2019.

Related work – relation modeling

Related Work <u>DGCNN</u>

Dynamic Graph CNN (DGCNN)

Points in high-level feature space captures semantically similar structures.

Despite a large distance between them in the original 3D space.

Wang et al. Dynamic Graph CNN for Learning on Point Clouds. 2018.

Related Work <u>DGCNN</u>

Related Work <u>self-attention</u>

- Relation modeling: self-attention
- Gumbel Subset Sampling VS. Farthest Point Sampling
 - permutation-invariant
 - high-dimension embedding space
 - differentiable

Related Work <u>self-attention</u>

Embedding: PointNet $X'_p = \{(x_p, x_i - x_p) | i \neq p\}.$

Self-attention:

group convolution + channel shuffle + pre-activation

Yang et al. Modeling Point Clouds with Self-Attention and Gumbel Subset Sampling. CVPR 2019.

Related Work self-attention

$$X_i \in \mathbb{R}^{N_i \times c}$$
$$X_{i+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i+1} \times c} \subseteq X_i$$

Gumbel Subset Sampling:

 $y = softmax(wX_i^T) \cdot X_i, \quad w \in \mathbb{R}^c.$ $\downarrow \text{ discrete reparameterization trick}$ $y_{gumbel} = gumbel_softmax(wX_i^T) \cdot X_i, \quad w \in \mathbb{R}^c.$ $\downarrow \text{ multiple point version}$ $GSS(X_i) = gumbel_softmax(WX_i^T) \cdot X_i, \quad W \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i+1} \times c}.$

Maximilian et al. Attention-based deep multiple instance learning. ICML 2018. Yang et al. Modeling Point Clouds with Self-Attention and Gumbel Subset Sampling. CVPR 2019.

Related work – convolution on point cloud

Related Work Kernel Point Convolution

$$(\mathcal{F} * g)(x) = \sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{N}_x} g(x_i - x) f_i$$

$$y_i = x_i - x$$

$$\mathcal{B}_r^3 = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid ||y|| \le r\}$$

$$g(y_i) = \sum_{k < K} h(y_i, \tilde{x}_k) W_k$$
kernel points: $\{\tilde{x}_k \mid k < K\} \subset \mathcal{B}_r^3$

$$\{W_k \mid k < K\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{D_{in} \times D_{out}}$$

$$h(y_i, \tilde{x}_k) = \max\left(0, 1 - \frac{||y_i - \tilde{x}_k||}{\sigma}\right)$$

Hugues et al. KPConv: Flexible and Deformable Convolution for Point Clouds. arXiv 2019.

Related Work Kernel Point Convolution

repulsive potential:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad E_k^{rep}(x) = \frac{1}{\|x - \widetilde{x}_k\|}$$

attractive potential:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad E^{att}(x) = \|x\|^2$$

$$E^{tot} = \sum_{k < K} \left(E^{att}(\widetilde{x}_k) + \sum_{l \neq k} E^{rep}_k(\widetilde{x}_l) \right)$$

Hugues et al. KPConv: Flexible and Deformable Convolution for Point Clouds. arXiv 2019.

Related Work Kernel Point Convolution

Rigid:
$$(\mathcal{F} * g)(x) = \sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{N}_x} g(x_i - x) f_i$$

 $g(y_i) = \sum_{k < K} h(y_i, \tilde{x}_k) W_k$

Deformable: fit the local geometry

$$(\mathcal{F} * g)(x) = \sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{N}_x} g_{deform}(x - x_i, \Delta(x)) f_i$$

$$g_{deform}(y_i, \Delta(x)) = \sum_{k < K} h(y_i, \tilde{x}_k + \Delta_k(x)) W_k$$

Hugues et al. KPConv: Flexible and Deformable Convolution for Point Clouds. arXiv 2019.

Github: awesome-point-cloud-analysis

- Recent papers (from 2017)

Keywords

ලා

dat.	: dataset 🛛	cls.:classification r	e1. : retrieval	seg. : segmentation
det.	: detection	tra.:tracking pos	: pose	dep.:depth
reg.	: registration	rec. : reconstruction	aut.:auto	onomous driving
oth.	: other, including	a normal-related, correspond	ence, mapping	, matching, alignment, compressio

2017

- [CVPR] PointNet: Deep Learning on Point Sets for 3D Classification and Segmentation. [tensorflow][pytorch] [cls.
 seg. det.]
- [CVPR] Dynamic Edge-Conditioned Filters in Convolutional Neural Networks on Graphs. [cls.]
- [CVPR] SyncSpecCNN: Synchronized Spectral CNN for 3D Shape Segmentation. [torch] [seg. oth.]
- [CVPR] ScanNet: Richly-annotated 3D Reconstructions of Indoor Scenes. [project][git] [dat. cls. rel. seg. oth.]
- [CVPR] Scalable Surface Reconstruction from Point Clouds with Extreme Scale and Density Diversity. [oth.]
- [CVPR] Efficient Global Point Cloud Alignment using Bayesian Nonparametric Mixtures. [code] [oth.]
- [CVPR] Discriminative Optimization: Theory and Applications to Point Cloud Registration. [reg.]
- [CVPR] 3D Point Cloud Registration for Localization using a Deep Neural Network Auto-Encoder. [git] [reg.]

Relation-Shape Convolutional Neural Network for Point Cloud Analysis (RS-CNN)

<u>Yongcheng Liu</u>, Bin Fan, Shiming Xiang, Chunhong Pan CVPR 2019 Oral Presentation

Project Page: https://yochengliu.github.io/Relation-Shape-CNN/

RS-CNN <u>Motivation</u>

Deep Learning (CNN)

Relation-Shape Convolution (RS-Conv)

local point subset $P_{sub} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow$ spherical neighborhood: $x_i + x_j \in \mathcal{N}(x_i)$ $\mathbf{f}_{P_{sub}} = \sigma \left(\mathcal{A}(\{\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{f}_{x_j}), \forall x_j\}) \right)^1, \ d_{ij} < r \ \forall x_j \in \mathcal{N}(x_i) \qquad y = \sigma(\sum \mathbf{W} * \mathbf{X})$ \mathcal{T} : feature transformation \mathcal{A} : feature aggregation

- Permutation invariance: only when A is symmetric and T is shared over each point
- Limitations of CNN: weight is not shared $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{f}_{x_j})$ gradient only w.r.t single point implicit
 - $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{f}_{x_j}) \,=\, \mathbf{w}_j \,\cdot\, \mathbf{f}_{x_j}$

• Conversion: learn from relation $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{f}_{x_j}) = \mathbf{w}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{x_j} = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{h}_{ij}) \cdot \mathbf{f}_{x_j}$

 $\mathbf{h}_{ij}: \text{predefined geometric priors} \rightarrow \text{low-level relation}$ $\mathbf{f}_{P_{\text{sub}}} = \sigma \left(\mathcal{A}(\{\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{h}_{ij}) \cdot \mathbf{f}_{x_j}, \forall x_j\}) \right) \quad \mathcal{M}: \text{mapping function}(\text{shared MLP}) \rightarrow \text{high-level relation}$

RS-CNN <u>Method</u>

high-level relation encoding + channel raising mapping

low-level relation h_{ij} : (3D Euclidean distance, $x_i - x_j$, x_i , x_j) 10 channels

RS-CNN <u>*RS-Conv: Properties*</u>

$$\mathbf{f}_{P_{\text{sub}}} = \sigma \big(\mathcal{A}(\{\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{h}_{ij}) \cdot \mathbf{f}_{x_j}, \forall x_j\}) \big)$$

- ✓ Permutation invariance
- ✓ Robustness to rigid transformation in Relation Learning, e.g., 3D Euclidean distance
- ✓ Points' interaction

RS-Conv with relation learning is more general and can be applied to model 2D grid spatial relationship.

RS-CNN <u>RS-CNN</u>

Farthest Point Sampling + Sphere Neighborhood + RS-Conv

RS-CNN <u>Shape classification</u>

method	input	#points	acc.
Pointwise-CNN [10]	xyz	1k	86.1
Deep Sets [48]	xyz	1k	87.1
ECC [31]	xyz	1k	87.4
PointNet [24]	xyz	1k	89.2
SCN [44]	xyz	1k	90.0
Kd-Net(depth=10) [16]	xyz	1k	90.6
PointNet++ [26]	xyz	1k	90.7
KCNet [30]	xyz	1k	91.0
MRTNet [3]	xyz	1k	91.2
Spec-GCN [38]	xyz	1k	91.5
PointCNN [21]	xyz	1k	91.7
DGCNN [41]	xyz	1k	92.2
PCNN [1]	xyz	1k	92.3
Ours	$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}$	1k	93.6
SO-Net [19]	xyz	2k	90.9
Kd-Net(depth=15) [16]	xyz	32k	91.8
O-CNN [39]	xyz, nor	-	90.6
Spec-GCN [38]	xyz, nor	1k	91.8
PointNet++ [26]	xyz, nor	5k	91.9
SpiderCNN [45]	xyz, nor	5k	92.4
SO-Net [19]	xyz, nor	5k	93.4

method	input	class	instance	air	bag	cap	car	chair	ear	guitar	knife	lamp	laptoj	motor	r mug	pistol	rocke	t skate	table
		mIoU	mIoU	plane					phone	e				bike				board	
Kd-Net [16]	4k	77.4	82.3	80.1	74.6	74.3	70.3	88.6	73.5	90.2	87.2	81.0	94.9	57.4	86.7	78.1	51.8	69.9	80.3
PointNet [24]	2k	80.4	83.7	83.4	78.7	82.5	74.9	89.6	73.0	91.5	85.9	80.8	95.3	65.2	93.0	81.2	57.9	72.8	80.6
RS-Net [11]	-	81.4	84.9	82.7	86.4	84.1	78.2	90.4	69.3	91.4	87.0	83.5	95.4	66.0	92.6	81.8	56.1	75.8	82.2
SCN [44]	1k	81.8	84.6	83.8	80.8	83.5	79.3	90.5	69.8	91.7	86.5	82.9	96.0	69.2	93.8	82.5	62.9	74.4	80.8
PCNN [1]	2k	81.8	85.1	82.4	80.1	85.5	79.5	90.8	73.2	91.3	86.0	85.0	95.7	73.2	94.8	83.3	51.0	75.0	81.8
SPLATNet [34]	-	82.0	84.6	81.9	83.9	88.6	79.5	90.1	73.5	91.3	84.7	84.5	96.3	69.7	95.0	81.7	59.2	70.4	81.3
KCNet [30]	2k	82.2	84.7	82.8	81.5	86.4	77.6	90.3	76.8	91.0	87.2	84.5	95.5	69.2	94.4	81.6	60.1	75.2	81.3
DGCNN [41]	2k	82.3	85.1	84.2	83.7	84.4	77.1	90.9	78.5	91.5	87.3	82.9	96.0	67.8	93.3	82.6	59.7	75.5	82.0
Ours	2k	84.0	86.2	83.5	84.8	88.8	79.6	91.2	81.1	91.6	88.4	86.0	96.0	73.7	94.1	83.4	60.5	77.7	83.6
PointNet++ [26]	2k,nor	81.9	85.1	82.4	79.0	87.7	77.3	90.8	71.8	91.0	85.9	83.7	95.3	71.6	94.1	81.3	58.7	76.4	82.6
SyncCNN [47]	mesh	82.0	84.7	81.6	81.7	81.9	75.2	90.2	74.9	93.0	86.1	84.7	95.6	66.7	92.7	81.6	60.6	82.9	82.1
SO-Net [19]	1k,nor	80.8	84.6	81.9	83.5	84.8	78.1	90.8	72.2	90.1	83.6	82.3	95.2	69.3	94.2	80.0	51.6	72.1	82.6
SpiderCNN [45]	2k,nor	82.4	85.3	83.5	81.0	87.2	77.5	90.7	76.8	91.1	87.3	83.3	95.8	70.2	93.5	82.7	59.7	75.8	82.8

class mIoU 1.7↑ instance mIoU 1.1↑

Best results over 10 categories

RS-CNN <u>ShapePart Segmentation</u>

Diverse, confusing shapes

RS-CNN *Normal estimation*

Table 3	8. Normal	estimation	error	on N	Aode	1N	et40	dataset.
1			1			•		

	Ours	1k	0.15
	PCNN [1]	1k	0.19
	PointNet++ [1]	1k	0.29
ModelNet40	PointNet [1]	1k	0.47
dataset	method	#points	error

less effective for some intractable shapes, such as spiral stairs and intricate plants

RS-CNN <u>Geometric priors</u>

$$\mathbf{f}_{P_{\text{sub}}} = \sigma \big(\mathcal{A}(\{\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{h}_{ij}) \cdot \mathbf{f}_{x_j}, \forall x_j\}) \big)$$

model	low-level relation h	channels	acc.
А	(3D-Ed)	1	92.5
В	(3D-Ed, $x_i - x_j$)	4	93.0
С	$(3D-Ed, x_i - x_j, x_i, x_j)$	10	93.6
D	$(3D\text{-}cosd, x_i^{nor}, x_j^{nor})$	7	92.8
E	(2D-Ed, $x'_i - x'_j, x'_i, x'_j$)	10	≈ 92.2

low-level relation h	channels	acc.
(XY-Ed, $x_i^{xy} - x_j^{xy}, x_i^{xy}, x_j^{xy}$)	10	92.1
(XY-Ed, $x_i^{\text{xz}} - x_j^{\text{xz}}, x_i^{\text{xz}}, x_j^{\text{xz}}$)	10	92.1
(XY-Ed, $x_i^{yz} - x_j^{yz}, x_i^{yz}, x_j^{yz}$)	10	92.2
fusion of above three views		92.5

RS-CNN *Model analysis*

Robustness to point permutation and rigid transformation

	_	method	acc.	perm.	+0.2	-0.2	90°	180°	
relation: 3D		PointNet [24]	88.7	88.7	70.8	70.6	42.5	38.6	
Fuclidean distanc	<u>م</u>	PointNet++ [26]	88.2^{+}	88.2	88.2	88.2	47.9	39.7	
		Ours	90.3 †	90.3	90.3	90.3	90.3	90.3	
$\mathbf{f} = -\sigma(A)$		1 st lay 512 poi	er ints	2 nd layer 128 point	s	1 st layer 512 points	2 nd layer 128 points		
$\mathbf{I}_{P_{\mathrm{sub}}} = O\left(\mathcal{A}(\{)\}\right)$)	-	6	ST DE		699			
Model complexity						13.5			Contract of the second
method	#params	#FLOPs/sample	e	122.435				ALL DE LEVEL	
PointNet [24]	3.50M	440M		and the second second		171. X.	•		
PointNet++ [21]	1.48M	1684M		* 1	- A	. An			and the second sec
PCNN [21]	8.20M	294M			5/97.	Entry.		-	Salla
Ours	1.41M	295M							
				- market	. 64		14	Ser Street	64: · · · · ·
				5				1	Sec.

low response

high response

Relation-Shape Convolutional Neural Network for Point Cloud Analysis

We propose a learn-from-relation convolution operator, which extends 2D CNN to irregular configuration for point cloud analysis.

Thanks for your attention !